

# Analysis of tumor microenvironment identifies features predicting response to checkpoint control inhibitors: A case study comparing the immune microenvironment of uveal melanoma vs skin cutaneous melanoma

Ravi Gupta, Nitin Mandloi, Ashwini Patil, Malini Muralidharan, Rekha Sathian, Aparna Mohan Kiran Paul and

Amitabha Chaudhuri

## MedGenome Inc. 348 Hatch Drive, Foster City, CA 94404

## Key findings

- We present a case study to support the idea that gene expression signatures can address a critical unmet need in the immune-oncology space, which is to create a framework for treating tumors that carry less mutation burden combined with poor T-cell infiltration
- Analyzed 476 skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) and 80 uveal melanoma (UVM) samples from TCGA. CD8+

Figure 7. Correlation of different cell types in UVM and SKCM



T-cell infiltrated tumors were far fewer in UVM <5% compared to SKCM (~30%), the immune microenvironment was qualitatively different in these tumors.

### Introduction

The remarkable success of checkpoint control inhibitors in treating a variety of different cancers has necessitated a deeper assessment of the tumor and its microenvironment at a genetic and phenotypic level. Data from recent clinical trials have unequivocally established that the tumor microenvironment significantly impacts the efficacy of immune-oncology drugs.

We have taken a gene expression signature-based approach to qualitatively and quantitatively assess the epithelial, stromal and immune content of tumors from RNA-seq data. The immune cell content of the tumors was further stratified to determine the infiltration pattern of nine different immune cell types including CD8+/CD4+ T-cells, Treg cells, NK cells, dendritic cells, B-cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and M1/M2 macrophages in the tumors using gene signatures specific to each immune cell type.

#### Figure 2. OncoPept*TUME*<sup>™</sup> workflow



#### Figure 3. Creation of gene signatures



Immune phenotyping of SKCM and UVM indicates different mechanisms of immune suppression in these two tumor types. In SKCM, CD8 T-cell infiltration is correlated with Treg cells, where as in UVM CD8 T-cell infiltration is correlated with both Treg and MDSC cells

#### Figure 6. MDSC infiltration in 33 cancers



## Objectives

- 1. Investigate the tumor microenvironment using the OncoPept  $VAC^{TM}$  and OncoPept  $TUME^{TM}$  solutions.
- 2. Evaluate tumor neo-epitope burden, and differences in the tumor microenvironment in UVM and SKCM

## Methods

- Tumor mutational burden and neo-epitope density of these two tumor types were analyzed by OncoPeptVAC<sup>TM</sup>.
- Tumor microenvironment analysis was carried out using OncoPeptTUME<sup>™</sup>

Disease

Figure 1. OncoPept $VAC^{TM}$  workflow for the prioritization of T-cell neo-epitopes

Step-1

Figure 4. Epithelial, Stromal and Immune content of 33 cancers from TCGA



#### Figure 8. Mutation burden and T-cell neoepitope content of UVM and SKCM



- Median tumor mutation burden of SKCM is 250 compared to 5 for UVM.
- The neo-epitope burden in SKCM is ~100-fold higher compared to UVM as expected due to higher mutation burden of SKCM
- Ratio of neo-epitope burden to total mutation burden is higher in UVM compared to SKCM (0.46 vs 0.66)





Figure 5. Immune cell infiltration in UVM and SKCM tumors



## Conclusion

- The UVM melanoma has ~50-fold lower median mutational burden compared to SKCM, which correlates with a lower (<100-fold) T-cell neo-epitope content in these tumors.</li>
- As expected, immune cell infiltration of UVM was significantly lower compared to SKCM and so were the infiltration of different immune cell types, indicating that UVMs are immunologically barren compared to SKCM.
- CD8+ T-cell infiltrated tumors were far fewer in UVM <5% compared to SKCM (~30%)</li>
- By contrast, CD8+ T-cell infiltrated SKCM tumors had significantly lower levels of MDSCs and M2 macrophages and were enriched in dendritic cells, M1 macrophages and Treg cells.
- Significantly, in UVM, the macrophage content was dominated by M2 macrophages (M1:M2, 1:2), whereas in SKCM they were similar.